SOU	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	v		
	MA GOIDEL, et al.,	: :		
	Plaintiff(s),	: :		
AET	-v- ГNA, INC.,	: CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN : AND SCHEDULING ORDER :		
	Defendant(s)	:		
<u>VER1</u>	NON S. BRODERICK, United States Dist	rict Judge:		
adopt	Pursuant to Rules 16-26(f) of the Federals the following Case Management Plan an	l Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court hereby d Scheduling Order:		
1.	before a United States Magistrate Judge U.S.C. § 636(c). The parties are free to v	sent X ] to conducting all further proceedings including motions and trial, pursuant to 28 withhold consent without adverse substantive inder of the Order need not be completed at this		
2.	The parties [have $X$ / have not] engaged in settlement discussions.			
3.	This case [is $X$ / is not] to be	tried to a jury. *		
4.	Except as provided by Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, no additional parties may be joined after 30 days from the entry of this order.			
5.	Except as provided by Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, no additional causes of action or defenses may be asserted after 30 days from the entry of this order.			
6.	Initial disclosures pursuant to Rule $26(a)(1)$ of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall be completed no later than $2/22/22$ . [Absent exceptional circumstances, within 14 days of the date of the parties' conference pursuant to Rule $26(f)$ .]			
7.	All fact discovery is to be completed no period not to exceed 120 days unless the complexities or other exceptional circuit.	e Court finds that the case presents unique		
8.	•	accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil		

<sup>\*</sup> To the extent some or all of Plaintiffs' claims are governed by ERISA, Aetna maintains that Plaintiffs are not entitled to a jury trial.

Procedure and the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York. The following interim deadlines may be extended by the parties on consent without application to the Court, provided that the parties meet the deadline for completing fact discovery set forth in  $\P$  7 above.

	a.	Initial requests for production of documents shall be served by $3/4/22$ .		
	b.	Interrogatories shall be served by 3/4/22.		
	c.	Depositions shall be completed by $6/22/22$ .		
		<ol> <li>Absent an agreement between the parties or an order from the Court, depositions are not to be held until all parties have responded to initial requests for document production.</li> </ol>		
		ii. There is no priority for depositions by reason of a party's status as a plaintiff or a defendant.		
		iii. Absent an agreement between the parties or an order from the Court, non-party depositions shall follow initial party depositions.		
	d.	Requests for admissions shall be served no later than $\phantom{00000000000000000000000000000000000$		
).	and o	expert discovery, including disclosures, reports, production of underlying documents, depositions shall be completed by 8/19/22. [The parties shall repared to describe their contemplated expert discovery and the bases for their posed deadlines at the initial conference.]		
10.	All d	liscovery shall be completed no later than8/19/22		
11.	the continuous	The Court will conduct a post-discovery conference on September 2, 2022 at 4:00 pm. [To be completed by the Court.] No later than two weeks in advance of the conference, the parties are to submit a joint letter updating the Court on the status of the case, including but not limited to whether either party intends to file a dispositive motion and what efforts the parties have made to settle the action. If either party contemplates filing a dispositive motion, the parties should be prepared to discuss a briefing schedule at the post-discovery conference.		
12.	requi from decis	ess otherwise ordered by the Court, the joint pretrial order and additional submissions ired by Rule 6 of the Court's Individual Rules and Practices shall be due 30 days a the close of discovery, or if any dispositive motion is filed, 30 days from the Court's sion on such motion. This case shall be trial ready 60 days from the close of overy or from the Court's decision on any dispositive motion.		

13.	Counsel for the parties propose the following alternative dispute resolution mechanism for this case:		
	a.	Referral to a Magistrate Judge for settlement discussions.	
	b Referral to the Southern District's Mediation Program. [Note that all employment discrimination cases, except cases brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., are designated for automatic referral to the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution program of mediation. Accordingly, counsel in such cases should select 13(b).]		
	c.	Retention of a private mediator.	
	The use of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this Order.		
14.	The parties have conferred and their present best estimate of the length of trial is		
SO OF	RDERE	ED.	
Dated:		York, New York  Vernon S. Broderick United States District Judge	